## STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of DAVID JONATHAN ROSOLINO, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

UNPUBLISHED December 26, 2000

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

LEASA ROSOLINO,

Respondent-Appellant.

No. 223915 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 97-361013

Before: McDonald, P.J., and Neff and Fitzgerald, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right the trial court's order terminating her parental rights to her minor son pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i) and (j). We affirm.

We review for clear error both the court's decision that a ground for termination has been proven by clear and convincing evidence and, where appropriate, the court's decision regarding the child's best interest. *In re Trejo minors*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). We find that the trial court did not clearly err in finding that § 19b(3)(c)(i) and (j) were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Sours minors*, 459 Mich 624, 633; 593 NW2d 520 (1999). Moreover, although there was evidence that the child was bonded to respondent, the trial court did not clearly err in determining that termination of respondents' parental rights was in the child's best interest. MCL 712A19b(5); MSA 27.3178 (598.19b)(5); *In re Trejo, supra*.

Affirmed.

/s/ Gary R. McDonald /s/ Janet T. Neff /s/ E. Thomas Fitzgerald